

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	88265-412
	00/00/01	CONTI	L.	Carlotte for the series
09/785,398	02/20/01	1		

| 028765 | WINSTON & STRAWN | 200 PARK AVENUE | NEW YORK NY 10166-4193 IM52/1023

EXAMINER
TRAN LIEN,T

DATE MAILED: 10/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/785,398

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Lien Tran

Art Unit 1761

Conti et al



			Liest Trait			
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address		
Period fo	OR REPLY ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	R REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE3 MONT	H(S) FROM		
Futon	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the p	provisions of 37 CF	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however,	may a reply be timely filed		
afte - If the	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date period for reply specified above is less that	an thirty (30) days,	a reply within the statutory minimul	m of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the m	aximum statutory p	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
Anve	mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended peric eply received by the Office later than thre rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFI	e months after the	statute, cause the application to be mailing date of this communication,	come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status		-d 5-6 20 1	2001	,		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) fil					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL.	2b) X This act		d and the modified		
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
-	tion of Claims		is/a	re pending in the application.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		icle	ere withdrawn from consideration.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		15/6	:- / allowed		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			_ is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>			_ is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			_ is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims		are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on	is/ar	e objected to by the Examiner.			
11)	io al approved hi disapproved.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected					
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a c	laim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d).		
a)	□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None					
	1. Certified copies of the priori			- No		
	2. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents ha	ave been received in Application	No		
*	 Copies of the certified copie application from the See the attached detailed Office act 	International Bui	documents have been received reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies not received			
14)		claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e).		
Attach	ment(s)					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) P			
, ,	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Applica	tion (PTO-152)		
171	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s).	20) Other:			

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 09/785398 Art Unit: 1761 Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application 1. filed in Great Britain on August 9, 1998. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 2. CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02. The oath or declaration is defective because: Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.52(c). The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 3. rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 4. (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows: 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/785398

Art Unit: 1761

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biggs et al in view of the book "The Wholefood Catalog".

Biggs et al disclose a wafer comprising flour, sucrose, invert sugar, fat, salt and lecithin. The wafer contain a food core selected form the group consisting of ice cream, fish, meat, vegetable, fruit, nuts, chocolate pieces and the like. The wafer may be coated with a barrier coating such as a fat or fat based coating. (See column 2)

Biggs et al do not disclose the addition of cereal grits, the ratio of flour to grits, the amount of water in the wafer, the amount of ingredients as claimed and the water activity of the second confectionery material.

The Wholefood Catalog teaches to add cooked grits to batters for muffins, griddle cakes or quick breads for extra moisture and flavor.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add grits, as taught by the cookbook, to the wafer batter if one desires to obtain extra moisture and flavor. The amount to use depends on the flavor and moistness desired and this can be determined by one skilled in the art. While Biggs et al do not disclose the addition of water, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add water in order to make the batter to form the wafer. The amount of water in the final wafer product will obviously be similar to the one claimed because the two products are the same type of product. As to the amount of ingredients, it would have been obvious to vary the amounts depending on the taste, flavor, texture desired. For example, it would have been obvious to add more sugar to obtain a sweeter taste. The water activity of the second

Application/Control Number: 09/785398 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

confectionery material depends on the type of material used. It would have been obvious to select any type of confectionery material depending on the flavor desired. It would have been obvious to select a material that is compatible with the wafer.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Morgan, Leibfred et al and Negro all disclose wafer products.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

October 19, 2001

LIEN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER